

Questionnaire on Evaluation of Courses of the Graduate School for Biomedical Sciences

Previous degree of the student:

Vet.med Biology others: _____

Study course: MSc Animal Biology and Biomedical Sciences

 PhD Veterinary Research and Animal Biology

 PhD Systems Neuroscience

Course Title: _____

Course Lecturer: _____

1. Total evaluation:	Scoring levels: 1 = very good... 6 = very poor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
How do you evaluate the course as a whole?						
How interested were you in the subject before the start of the course?						
What is the case now after the course has ended?						
What was your state of knowledge before attending the course on this subject?						
What is your state of knowledge now?						

2. Structure and Contents:	Scoring levels: 1 = very good... 6 = very poor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
The course was clearly structured						
The goals were identifiable						
The handouts were useful						
The materials facilitate understanding (e.g. slides, overhead transparencies, scripts, experimental setups)						

3. Teaching Supervision	Scoring levels: 1 = very good...6 = very poor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
The teaching was linked to previous knowledge of participants						
Complex issues were explained coherently						
The teaching encouraged involvement of the participants						
Independent dealing with the subject was encouraged						
Suggestions of the participants were taken up						
Different doctrines/methods for analysing were introduced						
Research results and current literature were gone into						
A pleasant work climate prevailed						

4. Relevance for Studies and Career	Scoring levels: 1 = very good...6 = very poor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
The course helped me personally						
The course was – in comparison with self-study – efficient						
I was able to incorporate my interests						
I learnt a great deal in the course						
The course contents are important for my later occupational activity						
The covered subjects are important for my studies						

5. Personal Appraisal	Scoring levels: 1= too low...6 = too high					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
The required previous knowledge was for me						
The tempo of communicating the subject-matter was for me						
The number of themes was for me						
The complexity of the discussed themes was for me						
My temporary workload due to own/self-study was						
My working hours per week for own/self-study amounted to	ca. 0 h	1-5 h	6-9 h	10-15 h		

6. External Circumstances	yes	no
The course fitted well into the general concept of the course of study		
The group size is appropriate		
The course is well organised		
I would recommend the course to someone		
Were language barriers taken into account (teaching language, handouts)?		

I still have the following comments to make:
